Modeling and Design of Blazed Metagratings

Abstract



Metagratings, which are usually composed of nano pillars, start to draw more and more attention for different applications. They are known for their high diffraction efficiency in non-paraxial cases and insensitivity to polarization. In this example, we construct a blazed metagrating using square nano pillars, following the work of P. Lalanne, *et al*., and demonstrate the optimization of metagratings in VirtualLab Fusion. Particularly, we evaluate the polarization-dependent efficiency in the simulation.

Modeling Task



How to design a metagrating with optimized 1st order diffraction efficiency, by

- selecting the proper unit cells / building blocks, and
- arranging them and optimize their positions within one grating period?

grating parameters and design method follows P. Lalanne, *et al*., Opt. Lett. 23, 1081-1083 (1998)

Unit Cell Analysis (Index Matched)

First, we assume a periodic replication of the same square pillars and vary the **pillar diameter (*D*)**.



transmission amplitude/phase vs. pillar diameter (@633nm)



Unit Cell Analysis (Index Matched)

First, we assume a periodic replication of the same square pillars and vary the **pillar diameter (*D*)**.



Fig. 1 from P. Lalanne, *et al.*, Opt. Lett. 23, 1081-1083 (1998)



Fig. 1. Crosses, phases of the transmitted zeroth order for a 487-nm-thick grating composed of a 380-nm-period array of square pillars etched in TiO_2. Dotted curves, n values of all the propagating modes supported by the biperiodic structure. The uppermost curve (n varies from 1 to 2.3) corresponds to the grating effective index. Numerical results were obtained by the modal method of Ref. 10 with square truncation (17 orders are retained along each axis). Solid curve, phases of a plane wave transmitted through a 487-nm-thick homogeneous dielectric film whose refractive index is equal to the n value of the fundamental mode.

phase vs. pillar diameter (@633nm)



VirtualLab Fusion simulation

Choosing Unit Cell (TiO_2-Glass Interface)

In practice, the substrate is in a different material as the pillars. Here, we consider glass substrate.



transmission amplitude/phase vs. pillar diameter (@633nm)



Selection of Pillar Diameters

In practice, the substrate is in a different material as the pillars. Here, we consider glass substrate.





	#1	#2	#3	#4	#5
D	118nm	179nm	201nm	247nm	293nm
$f=D/u$	0.31	0.47	0.53	0.65	0.77
$\Delta\psi$	0.20π	0.69π	0.98π	1.40π	1.73π

Selection of pillar diameters follows from P. Lalanne, *et al.*, Opt. Lett. 23, 1081-1083 (1998)

Blazed Metagrating Construction





	#1	#2	#3	#4	#5
D	118nm	179nm	201nm	247nm	293nm
f=D/u	0.31	0.47	0.53	0.65	0.77
$\Delta\psi$	0.20π	0.69π	0.98π	1.40π	1.73π

Selection of pillar diameters follows from P. Lalanne, *et al.*, Opt. Lett. 23, 1081-1083 (1998)

Performance Analysis of Initial Design



grating performance evaluation

	Efficiency
y-polarization (TE)	80.2%
x-polarization (TM)	74.2%
average	**77.2%**

The same average efficiency value is reported in P. Lalanne, *et al*., Opt. Lett. 23, 1081-1083 (1998)

	#1	#2	#3	#4	#5
D	118nm	179nm	201nm	247nm	293nm
$f=D/u$	0.31	0.47	0.53	0.65	0.77
$\Delta\psi$	0.20π	0.69π	0.98π	1.40π	1.73π

Selection of pillar diameters follows from P. Lalanne, *et al*., Opt. Lett. 23, 1081-1083 (1998)

Visualization of Transmitted Field



directly at pillar-substrate interface



Visualization of Transmitted Field



5µm behind interface (evanescent waves damped)

directly at pillar-substrate interface

Further Optimization of Metagrating



downhill simplex optimization with FMM/RCWA for grating analysis



Performance Analysis of Optimized Design





optimized grating

	Efficiency
y-polarization (TE)	87.0%
x-polarization (TM)	85.5%
average	**86.3%**

After optimization, the resulting grating shows almost 10 percentage points increase in the 1st order diffraction efficiency.

initial grating design

	Efficiency
y-polarization (TE)	80.2%
x-polarization (TM)	74.2%
average	**77.2%**

Peek into VirtualLab Fusion

flexible distribution of unit cells / pillars



inbuilt parametric optimization tools





metagrating top-view

Workflow in VirtualLab Fusion

- Analyze metasurface unit cell
  - Rigorous Analysis of Nanopillar Metasurface Building Block [Use Case]
- Construct metagratings
- Analyze grating diffraction efficiency
  - Grating Order Analyzer [Use Case]
- Parametric optimization of grating structure



VirtualLab Fusion Technologies





Document Information

title	Modeling and Design of Blazed Metagratings
document code	GRT.0020
version	1.0
edition	VirtualLab Fusion Advanced
software version	2020.1 (Build 1.238)
category	Application Use Case
further reading	- Rigorous Analysis of Nanopillar Metasurface Building Block - Analysis and Design of Highly Efficient Polarization Independent Transmission Gratings